

DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES
ANNUAL REPORT ON GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

January 2021

Program ID/Title: AGS 221/Public Works – Planning, Design, and Construction

Contact Person/Phone Christine L. Kinimaka/586-0526

I. Goal

Improve the quality of design, construction and renovation of facilities.

II. Objectives and Policies

A. #1 – Acquire quality design for construction and renovation of facilities.

1. Continue to update requirements for design consultants contained in the Design Consultant Criteria Manual (DCCM). Implement new requirements mandated by policies, laws, codes and regulations, etc.
2. Continue to educate users, consultants, suppliers, contractors, and others on DAGS standards, project specifications, budgetary constraints, policies and procedures to promote clear understanding of requirements and realistic expectations, based on functional and financial analyses, for projects. Meet with professional architectural/engineering and construction industry organizations on a regular basis to obtain technical and administrative feedback.
3. Expand use of database and collaboration software for a document review, storage, retrieval and management system that will facilitate coordination and tracking of DAGS-PWD/user agency design phase work tasks such as design submittal reviews. Target improvement objectives:
 - a. Electronic Plan File Room (Platform)
 - b. Project Files/Documents
 - c. Digitizing paper documents

B. #2 – Improve design and construction performance

1. Continue to consider alternative procurement methods for construction awards with consideration given to bidders' experience and qualifications, past performance, capacity to do the work, and other criteria rather than base awards primarily on low bid.

2. Closely monitor and advise consultants and contractors of desired outcomes to enable satisfactory or better performance.
 3. Initiate more efficient and paperless communication, verification, and archiving per the Governor’s eSign initiative and improving on the way government conducts business.
 4. Implement changes to existing processes and procedures with the goal of improving on project delivery based on the triple constraints of scope, schedule and budget.
- C. #3 – Subject to the results of cost analysis of payback, present value and other financial analysis techniques, continue to recommend and undertake energy efficiency/conservation projects for DAGS buildings and for other user agency facilities as mandated or requested and as funding permits.

III. Action Plan with Timetable

A. Objectives/Policy #1 – Acquire high quality design for construction and renovation of facilities.

1. Past Year Accomplishments

- a. Objective #1.1 – Updated the DCCM in March 2020 and August 2020 with the goal of assuring consultants incorporate the latest DAGS practices into their project documents.
- b. Objective #1.2 – Continued meetings and discussions with the General Contractors Association (GCA), the Building Industry Association (BIA), the Associated Builders and Contractors, Inc. (ABC), the Subcontractors Association of Hawaii (SAH), the American Council of Engineering Companies of Hawaii (ACECH), City and County of Honolulu Department of Planning and Permitting, and other government agencies to share information and update parties on policies and procedures regarding design and construction matters.

c. Objective #1.3 – Implemented the following:

Instituted efforts to improve the accuracy, reliability and usability of the electronic plans system. Provided training on the electronic system to staff and management.

2. One year – Bi-annual update of DCCM to improve project delivery timeliness, quality, cost and efficiency on design and construction performance. Continue to update the PWD internet website to provide user-friendly access to forms, instructions and information for clients and

contractors. Continue staff, consultant, contractor and client training in utilizing the project collaboration platform. Expand use of archiving platform to other types of Public Works documents.

3. Two years – Continue to improve website information tailored to the needs of the design and construction communities. Continue to refine DCCM. Utilize the project collaboration platform for all projects initiated by DAGS Public Works Division.
4. Five years – Continue to upgrade the computerized automated project database and recordkeeping systems. Continue to evolve the website according to industry standards.

B. Objectives/Policy #2 – Improve construction performance

1. Past Year Accomplishments

- a. Objective #2.1 – Continued to explore other construction procurement methods as alternatives to the low bid method. Statewide ESPC encompassing additional Oahu state office buildings and neighbor island State office buildings is ongoing.
 - i. Continued design and construction activities under a design-build contract for the Hawaii State Hospital New Patient Facility.
 - ii. Issued a Request for Qualifications and completed identification of three priority listed respondents for a Public-Private Partnership redevelopment of the Aloha Stadium site to provide a New Aloha Stadium Entertainment District.
 - iii. Completed Phase I and began Phase II planning for the Relocation of Oahu Community Correctional Center, Oahu, which includes consideration for innovative project delivery. Phase II will provide the business case and due diligence to shape the anticipated Request for Information, Request for Qualifications, and Request for Proposals.
 - iv. Continued use of “Indefinite Quantity Contracting” for the installation of Disaster Warning and Communication System Devices (sirens) statewide with geographic contracts. The geographic contracts were procured to allow for the most competitive pricing for each geographic region rather than doing a statewide contract.
 - v. Public Works Division staff continue to participate in online on-demand workshops on State Procurement procedures to assure

compliance with State Procurement Office requirements and departmental requirements.

- b. Objective #2.2 – Continued implementation of a quality control process to assess overall project performance on selected projects based on review of change orders and other documentation using the triple constraints of scope, schedule and budget to assess performance. Implementation includes identifying “lessons learned” events and situations to improve future project performance by all involved in the project delivery process including consultants and contractors.
 - c. Objective #2.4 – Implemented the following initiatives:
 - i. To facilitate improvement in the overall project delivery process by initiating projects at an earlier stage, implemented a request process via an annual Comptroller’s Memorandum to all user agencies to initiate their project requests to the Public Works Division as soon as possible.
 - ii. To strengthen the consultant selection process, implemented changes to facilitate improvements and efficiencies in the overall selection process.
 - iii. To ensure staff remain up to date in planning, design, project management and construction management processes and practices, continued using an on-line, on-demand training program. Specific topics covered have included scheduling and use of schedule software, risk management, project management, construction claims analysis, and proper use of tax-exempt general obligation bond funds. Other topics including quality controls, time impact analysis, alternate project delivery methods, and other subjects relevant to PWD’s functions and services will be covered as part of the overall training program.
 - iv. To ensure quality PWD work products, continued to employ a process to review and, as deemed necessary, revise and/or reinforce procedures, based in part on the knowledge gained in training (refer above to c.iii.). Changes to date in the process of implementation include a focus on risk management and a reemphasis on project schedules.
2. One year – Continue to consider alternative procurement methods to low-bid awards. Continue to establish and refine instructions for staff, consultants, and contractors on using a boilerplate specification for use of the Electronic Procurement System (HIePRO) electronic bidding system.

Participate in evaluation of the feasibility of the HiePRO procurement system for construction projects.

3. Two years – Bid out all eligible and appropriate projects using an electronic procurement system to replace or supplement the current hard copy sealed bids. Determine appropriate alternative procurement methods for various situations. Provide customer service training for staff. Obtain outside trainers for in-house staff training if necessary.

Complete transactions for all appropriate project business processes with online archiving and services.

4. Five years – Increase utilization of online collaboration project management and construction management platform to expedite the decision-making process and overall project delivery process from bidding out projects, initiating projects in the planning stage, implementing the design process, improving construction management, and ensuring quality control.

- C. Objective/Policy #3 – Continue to plan, design and implement energy efficiency/conservation projects for DAGS buildings and for other user agency facilities as funding permits.

1. Past Year Accomplishments:

- a. Continued construction of DAGS Facilities, incorporating energy efficiency improvements, where feasible, during FY 2020.

- b. The following energy efficiency/conservation related projects were under construction during FY 2020:

- i. Hawaii State Hospital New Patient Facility, LEED Silver goal.

- ii. Kona Judiciary Complex, LEED Silver goal.

- c. The following energy efficiency/conservation related project completed construction during FY 2020 and are seeking LEED Silver certification:

- i. Kona Judiciary Complex, LEED Silver goal.

- d. Continued to utilize a process for financial analysis of proposed projects utilizing both payback and discounted cash flow analysis techniques.

2. One year:
 - a. Continue to refine energy efficiency projects that incorporate financial analysis techniques such as payback and present value methods and processes during the design and construction phases of selected projects.
 - b. Review/evaluate/assess “lessons learned/results” from ESPC projects and projects that incorporated LEED, building commissioning, during the design and construction phases to determine benefits and costs.
 - c. Continue working on the Statewide ESPC program that includes other Oahu State facilities and neighbor island State facilities.
3. Two years – Continue to plan, design and construct energy and cost-efficient buildings. Refine design requirements, methodology, incorporate new technology and material. Subject to funding, implement the recommendations of the retro-commissioning investigations conducted for the public libraries. Develop and implement an Energy Conservation Implementation Plan in conjunction with the Central Services Division. The DAGS Public Buildings, Statewide, Energy Conservation Planning project was started for this purpose.
4. Five years – Develop and implement revised Policies and Procedure and/or changes to the DCCM on the use of LEED/energy initiatives and building commissioning and retro-commissioning processes as appropriate during the design and construction phases for other projects. Retro-commission public buildings every five (5) years as required by HRS §196-30 (Act 155, SLH 2009), subject to funding availability.

IV. Performance Measures

A. Customer Satisfaction Measure

Acquire high quality construction and renovation of facilities.

1. Review, evaluate and measure user and staff ratings of consultants and for all projects through customer satisfaction surveys and polling.
2. Obtain, analyze and record evaluations from user agencies on the performance of consultants and project results.
3. Follow-up with consultants and contractors with less-than-satisfactory ratings and results.

B. Program Standard Measure

Acquire good quality construction and renovation of facilities.

1. Obtain feedback from users on the quality of workmanship.
2. Obtain feedback from users on the amount of maintenance required post-construction/renovation compared with maintenance prior to construction.
3. Continue to measure and evaluate the number and types of change orders.
4. Measure and evaluate the project schedules.

C. Cost Effectiveness Measure

Acquire high quality construction and renovation of facilities.

1. Continue to evaluate changes in the number, value, and types of change orders during construction.
2. Measure and evaluate the number of projects that are completed on schedule and within budget.